Cal-Western Reconve dismiss Mr. Morrow

	S DISTRICT COURT
Northern Dist	trict of California
San Franc	cisco Division
EVA MORROW,	No. C 12-03045 LB
Plaintiff, v. WELLS FARGO BANK, et al.,	ORDER REGARDING THE SERVICE OF DEFENDANT CAL-WESTERN AND SETTING HEARING ON DEFENDANT WELLS FARGO'S MOTIONS
Defendants.	[Re: ECF Nos. 1, 5, 6]
Plaintiff Eva Morrow filed suit against Defer	ndants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo") and
Cal-Western Reconveyance Corporation ("Cal-Western") in Alameda County Superior Court for	
alleged misconduct in relation to those entities'	foreclosure on her property. See Notice of Removal
ECF No. 1 at 2.1 Wells Fargo removed the actio	on to this court on June 13, 2012, and it moved to
dismiss Mr. Morrow's First Amended Complain	t and for an order expunging a lis pendens. Notice
of Removal, ECF No. 1; Motion to Dismiss, EC	F No. 5; Motion to Expunge, ECF No. 6.
Once a case has been removed from state con	urt, it is subject to the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure. Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(c)(1).	
As far as the court knows, Ms. Morrow did n	not serve Cal-Western prior to Wells Fargo's

¹ Citations are to the Electronic Case File ("ECF") with pin cites to the electronic page number at the top of the document, not the pages at the bottom.

removal of the action on June 13, 2012. Thus, Ms. Morrow has until October 11, 2012 to serve Cal-

C 12-03045 LB ORDER

Western. See 28 U.S.C. § 1448 ("In all cases removed from any State court to any district court of the United States in which any one or more of the defendants has not been served with process or in which the service has not been perfected prior to removal, or in which process served proves to be defective, such process or service may be completed or new process issued in the same manner as in cases originally filed in such district court."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (allowing, in the absence of good cause, a plaintiff 120 days to serve a defendant).

Because Cal-Western's status as a defendant to this action is essential to the resolution of Wells Fargo's motions—Ms. Morrow argues that Cal-Western's inclusion as a named defendant destroys the court's diversity jurisdiction, but Cal-Western must be served to become a party to this action, *Murphy Bros., Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, 526 U.S. 344, 350 (1999) ("[O]ne becomes a party officially, and is required to take action in that capacity, only upon service of a summons or other authority-asserting measure stating the time within which the party served must appear and defend.")—the court vacated the August 2, 2012 hearing date.

Accordingly, Ms. Morrow shall file a proof of Cal-Western's service no later than October 12, 2012. Wells Fargo's motion to dismiss and motion to expunge a *lis pendens* is set for hearing on November 1, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 22, 2012

LAUREL BEELER

United States Magistrate Judge